arXiv:hep-th/0201059v1 9 Jan 2002

Note on two-dimensional nonlinear gauge theories

C. Bizdadea *
Faculty of Physics, University of Craiova
13 A. I. Cuza Str., Craiova RO-1100, Romania

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A two-dimensional nonlinear gauge theory that can be proposed for generalization to higher dimensions is derived by means of cohomological arguments.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Ef

A big step in the progress of the BRST formalism was its cohomological understanding [1], which allowed, among others, a useful investigation of many interesting aspects related to the perturbative renormalization problem [2]–[3], anomaly-tracking mechanism [3]–[4], simultaneous study of local and rigid invariances of a given theory [5], as well as to the reformulation of the construction of consistent interactions in gauge theories [6] in terms of the deformation theory [7], or, actually, in terms of the deformation of the solution to the master equation. Joint to these topics, the problem of obtaining consistent deformations has naturally found its extension at the Hamiltonian level by means of local BRST cohomology [8]. There is a large variety of models of interest in theoretical physics that have been investigated in the light of the deformation of the master equation [9]–[10].

In this paper we investigate the consistent interactions that can be added among a set of scalar fields, two types of one-forms and a system of two-forms

*e-mail address: bizdadea@central.ucv.ro

in two dimensions, described in the free limit by an abelian BF theory [11], in order to construct a two-dimensional nonlinear gauge theory that can be proposed for generalization to higher dimensions. Nonlinear gauge theories [12] are important as they include pure two-dimensional gravitation theory [13], which is expected to offer a conceptual mechanism for the study of quantum gravity in higher dimensions from the perspective of gauge theories. More precisely, when the nonlinear algebra is the Lorentz-covariant extension of the Poincaré algebra, the theory turns out to be the Yang-Mills-like formulation of $\mathbf{R}^2$ gravity with dynamical torsion, or generic form of 'dilaton' gravity [14].

Our strategy goes as follows. Initially, we determine the antifield-BRST symmetry of the free model, that splits as the sum between the Koszul-Tate differential and the exterior derivative along the gauge orbits, $s = \delta + \gamma$. Next, we deform the solution to the master equation of the free model. The first-order deformation belongs to $H^0(s|d)$, where d is the exterior space-time derivative. The computation of the cohomological space $H^0(s|d)$ proceeds by expanding the co-cycles according to the antighost number, and by further using the cohomological spaces $H(\gamma)$ and $H_2(\delta|d)$. Subsequently, we show that the consistency of the first-order deformation requires that all the higher-order deformations vanish. With the help of the deformed solution to the master equation we finally identify the interacting theory and its gauge transformations, which form a nonlinear gauge algebra (open algebra) that only closes on-shell.

We begin with a free model given by an abelian two-dimensional BF theory involving a set of scalar fields, two types of one-forms and a system of two-forms

$$S_0\left[A^a_\mu, H^a_\mu, \varphi_a, B^{\mu\nu}_a\right] = \int d^2x \left(H^a_\mu \partial^\mu \varphi_a + \frac{1}{2} B^{\mu\nu}_a \partial_{[\mu} A^a_{\nu]}\right), \tag{1}$$

subject to the irreducible gauge invariances

$$\delta_\epsilon A^a_\mu = \partial_\mu \epsilon^a,\ \delta_\epsilon H^a_\mu = \partial^\nu \epsilon^a_{\mu\nu},\ \delta_\epsilon \varphi_a = 0,\ \delta_\epsilon B^{\mu\nu}_a = 0, \tag{2}$$

where the notation $[\mu\nu]$ means antisymmetry with respect to the indices between brackets. A consistent deformation of the free action (1) and of its gauge invariances (2) defines a deformation of the corresponding solution to the master equation that preserves both the master equation and

the field/antifield spectra. So, if $S_0^L\left[A_\mu^a, H_\mu^a, \varphi_a, B_a^{\mu\nu}\right] + g\int d^2x\alpha_0 + O\left(g^2\right)$ stands for a consistent deformation of the free action, with deformed gauge transformations $\bar{\delta}_\epsilon A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu\epsilon^a + g\beta_\mu^a + O\left(g^2\right)$, $\bar{\delta}_\epsilon H_\mu^a = \partial^\nu\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^a + \rho_\mu^a + O\left(g^2\right)$, $\bar{\delta}_\epsilon\varphi_a = g\beta_a + O\left(g^2\right)$, $\bar{\delta}_\epsilon B_a^{\mu\nu} = \beta_a^{\mu\nu} + O\left(g^2\right)$, then the deformed solution to the master equation

$$\bar{S} = S + g\int d^2x\alpha + O\left(g^2\right), \tag{3}$$

satisfies $\left(\bar{S}, \bar{S}\right) = 0$, where

$$S = S_0^L\left[A_\mu^a, H_\mu^a, \varphi_a, B_a^{\mu\nu}\right] + \int d^2x\left(A_a^{*\mu}\partial_\mu\eta^a + H_a^{*\mu}\partial^\nu\eta_{\mu\nu}^a\right), \tag{4}$$

and $\alpha = \alpha_0 + A_a^{*\mu}\bar{\beta}_\mu^a + H_a^{*\mu}\bar{\rho}_\mu^a + \varphi^{*a}\bar{\beta}_a + B_{\mu\nu}^{*a}\bar{\beta}_a^{\mu\nu} + $ 'more' (g is the so-called deformation parameter or coupling constant). The terms $\bar{\beta}_\mu^a$, $\bar{\rho}_\mu^a$, $\bar{\beta}_a$, $\bar{\beta}_a^{\mu\nu}$ are obtained by replacing the gauge parameters ϵ^a and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^a$ respectively with the fermionic ghosts η^a and $\eta_{\mu\nu}^a$ in the functions β_μ^a, ρ_μ^a, β_a and $\beta_a^{\mu\nu}$. The fields carrying a star denote the antifields of the corresponding fields or ghosts. The Grassmann parity of an antifield is opposite to that of the corresponding field/ghost. The pure ghost number (pgh) and the antighost number (antigh) of the fields, ghosts and antifields are valued like

$$\mathrm{pgh}\Phi^{\alpha_0} = \mathrm{pgh}\left(\Phi_{\alpha_0}^*\right) = 0,\ \mathrm{pgh}\left(\eta^{\alpha_1}\right) = 1,\ \mathrm{pgh}\left(\eta_{\alpha_1}^*\right) = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\mathrm{antigh}\Phi^{\alpha_0} = 0,\ \mathrm{antigh}\Phi_{\alpha_0}^* = 1,\ \mathrm{antigh}\left(\eta^{\alpha_1}\right) = 0,\ \mathrm{antigh}\left(\eta_{\alpha_1}^*\right) = 2, \tag{6}$$

where we employed the notations

$$\Phi^{\alpha_0} = \left(A_\mu^a, H_\mu^a, \varphi_a, B_a^{\mu\nu}\right),\ \Phi_{\alpha_0}^* = \left(A_a^{*\mu}, H_a^{*\mu}, \varphi^{*a}, B_{\mu\nu}^{*a}\right), \tag{7}$$

$$\eta^{\alpha_1} = \left(\eta^a, \eta_{\mu\nu}^a\right),\ \eta_{\alpha_1}^* = \left(\eta_a^*, \eta_a^{*\mu\nu}\right). \tag{8}$$

The BRST symmetry of the free theory, $s\bullet = (\bullet, S)$, simply decomposes as the sum between the Koszul-Tate differential δ and the exterior derivative along the gauge orbits γ, $s = \delta + \gamma$, where the degree of δ is the antighost number ($\mathrm{antigh}\left(\delta\right) = -1$, $\mathrm{antigh}\left(\gamma\right) = 0$), and that of γ is the pure ghost number ($\mathrm{pgh}\left(\gamma\right) = 1$, $\mathrm{pgh}\left(\delta\right) = 0$). The grading of the BRST differential is named ghost number (gh) and is defined in the usual manner like the difference between the pure ghost number and the antighost number, such

that $\mathrm{gh}\,(s) = 1$. The actions of δ and γ on the generators of the BRST complex can be written as

$$\delta\Phi^{\alpha_0} = 0,\ \delta\eta^{\alpha_1} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\delta A^{*\mu}_a = \partial_\nu B^{\nu\mu}_a,\ \delta H^{*\mu}_a = -\partial^\mu \varphi_a,\ \delta\varphi^{*a} = \partial^\mu H^a_\mu, \tag{10}$$

$$\delta B^{*a}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{[\mu} A^a_{\nu]},\ \delta\eta^*_a = -\partial_\mu A^{*\mu}_a,\ \delta\eta^{*\mu\nu}_a = \frac{1}{2}\partial^{[\mu} H^{*\,\nu]}_a, \tag{11}$$

$$\gamma A^a_\mu = \partial_\mu \eta^a,\ \gamma H^a_\mu = \partial^\nu \eta^a_{\mu\nu},\ \gamma\varphi_a = \gamma B^{\mu\nu}_a = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\gamma\eta^{\alpha_1} = \gamma\Phi^*_{\alpha_0} = \gamma\eta^*_{\alpha_1} = 0. \tag{13}$$

The master equation $\left(\bar{S}, \bar{S}\right) = 0$ holds to order g if and only if

$$s\alpha = \partial_\mu j^\mu, \tag{14}$$

for some local j^μ. This means that the nontrivial first-order consistent interactions belong to $H^0\,(s|d)$, where d is the exterior space-time derivative. In the case where α is a coboundary modulo d ($\alpha = s\lambda + \partial_\mu b^\mu$), then the deformation is trivial (it can be eliminated by a redefinition of the fields). In order to investigate the solution to (14), we develop α according to the antighost number

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \ldots \alpha_J,\ \mathrm{antigh}\,(\alpha_k) = k, \tag{15}$$

where the last term can be assumed to be annihilated by γ, $\gamma\alpha_J = 0$. Thus, we need to know the cohomology of γ, $H\,(\gamma)$, in order to determine the terms of highest antighost number in α. From (12-13) it is simple to see that the cohomology of γ is generated by $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{[\mu} A^a_{\nu]}$, $\partial^\mu H^a_\mu$, φ_a, $B^{\mu\nu}_a$, the antifields together with their derivatives, as well as by the ghosts. If we denote by $e^M\,(\eta^{\alpha_1})$ a basis in the space of the polynomials in the ghosts, it follows that the general solution to the equation $\gamma a = 0$ takes the form

$$a = a_M\left(\left[F^a_{\mu\nu}\right], \left[\partial^\mu H^a_\mu\right][\varphi_a], [B^{\mu\nu}_a], \left[\Phi^*_{\alpha_0}\right], \left[\eta^*_{\alpha_1}\right]\right) e^M\,(\eta^{\alpha_1}) + \gamma b, \tag{16}$$

where the notation $f\,[q]$ signifies that f depends on q and its derivatives up to a finite order. At this point we recall the cohomology of δ modulo the exterior space-time derivative, $H\,(\delta|d)$. On account of the results inferred in [10] it follows that it is vanishing for all antighost numbers strictly greater

than two, $H_J\left(\delta|d\right)=0$ for $J>2$. Starting from the general form of an object of antighost number two, $a_2=N^{\alpha_1}\eta^*_{\alpha_1}+M^{\alpha_0\beta_0}\Phi^*_{\alpha_0}\Phi^*_{\beta_0}$, where N^{α_1} and $M^{\alpha_0\beta_0}$ are functions of Φ^{α_0} and their derivatives, and requiring that a_2 belongs to $H_2\left(\delta|d\right)$, hence $\delta a_2=\partial_\mu m^\mu$, we get that, up to a trivial term, the most general element from $H_2\left(\delta|d\right)$ can be represented as

$$a_2=K\left(\frac{\delta W}{\delta\varphi_c}\eta^*_c-\frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}B_{d\mu\nu}\eta^{*\mu\nu}_c+\frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}A^{*\mu}_c H^*_{d\mu}-\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^3 W}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d\delta\varphi_e}B_{d\mu\nu}H^{*\mu}_c H^{*\nu}_e\right)+K_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{\delta U}{\delta\varphi_c}\eta^{*\mu\nu}_c+\right.$$
$$\left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2 U}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}H^{*\mu}_c H^{*\nu}_d\right)=K\tilde{a}_2+K_{\mu\nu}\bar{a}^{\mu\nu}_2, \tag{17}$$

where W and U are some functions involving only the scalar fields φ_a, while K and $K_{\mu\nu}$ represent some constants, with $K_{\mu\nu}=-K_{\nu\mu}$. From (17) we find in straightforward manner that $\delta\tilde{a}_2=\partial_\mu\tilde{m}^\mu$ and $\delta\bar{a}^{\mu\nu}_2=\partial_\beta\bar{m}^{\beta\mu\nu}$. Moreover, a_2 is γ-closed, $\gamma a_2=0$. We have enough information as to solve the equation (14). Since $H_J\left(\delta|d\right)$ vanishes for $J>2$, one can assume that $\alpha=\alpha_0+\alpha_1+\alpha_2$. As explained in the above, the general solution to the equation $\gamma\alpha_2=0$ is (up to a trivial term) $\alpha_2=\alpha_M e^M\left(\eta^{\alpha_1}\right)$, where $\mathrm{pgh}\left(e^M\left(\eta^{\alpha_1}\right)\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{antigh}\left(\alpha_M\right)=2$. Consequently, we have that

$$\alpha_2=\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{ab}\eta^a\eta^b+\alpha^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ab}\eta^a\eta^b_{\mu\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{\mu\nu\ \lambda\rho}_{\ \ ab}\eta^a_{\mu\nu}\eta^b_{\lambda\rho}, \tag{18}$$

where α_{ab}, $\alpha^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ab}$ and $\alpha^{\mu\nu\ \lambda\rho}_{\ \ ab}$ are γ-invariant functions of antighost number two, that should satisfy in addition the symmetry properties

$$\alpha_{ab}=-\alpha_{ba},\ \alpha^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ab}=-\alpha^{\nu\mu}_{\ \ ab},\ \alpha^{\mu\nu\ \lambda\rho}_{\ \ ab}=-\alpha^{\lambda\rho\ \mu\nu}_{\ \ ba}. \tag{19}$$

Here come in the results connected with $H_J\left(\delta|d\right)=0$ for $J>2$. Equation (14) projected on antighost number one is locally expressed by $\delta\alpha_2+\gamma\alpha_1=\partial_\mu n^\mu$. A necessary condition for the last equation to possess solution (or, equivalently, for α_1 to exist) is that the functions α_{ab}, $\alpha^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ab}$ and $\alpha^{\mu\nu\ \lambda\rho}_{\ \ ab}$ belong to $H_2\left(\delta|d\right)$

$$\delta\alpha_{ab}=\partial_\mu k^\mu_{\ ab},\ \delta\alpha^{\mu\nu}_{\ \ ab}=\partial_\beta k^{\beta\mu\nu}_{\ \ ab},\ \delta\alpha^{\mu\nu\ \lambda\rho}_{\ \ ab}=\partial_\beta k^{\beta\ \mu\nu\ \lambda\rho}_{\ \ ab}. \tag{20}$$

The existence of α_1 demands in addition that the functions $k_{ab}^{\beta\mu\nu}$ and $k_{ab}^{\beta\,\mu\nu\,\lambda\rho}$ satisfy the equations

$$k_{ab}^{\beta\mu\nu}\partial_\beta\eta_{\mu\nu}^b = \mu^\nu{}_{ab}\partial^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}^b, \tag{21}$$

$$k_{ab}^{\beta\,\mu\nu\,\lambda\rho}\partial_\beta\left(\eta_{\mu\nu}^a\eta_{\lambda\rho}^b\right) = \sigma^{\nu\,\lambda\rho}{}_{ab}\left(\partial^\mu\eta_{\mu\nu}^a\right)\eta_{\lambda\rho}^b + \sigma^{\mu\nu\,\rho}{}_{ab}\eta_{\mu\nu}^a\left(\partial^\lambda\eta_{\lambda\rho}^b\right), \tag{22}$$

for some μ and σ. In other words, only the objects from $H_2\left(\delta|d\right)$ that fulfill the relations (21-22) are allowed to enter the solution (18). On the other hand, the result (17) ensures that we can take

$$\alpha_{ab} = K\left(\frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}\eta_c^* - \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}B_{d\mu\nu}\eta_c^{*\mu\nu} + \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}A_c^{*\mu}H_{d\mu}^* - \right.$$
$$\left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^3 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d\delta\varphi_e}B_{d\mu\nu}H_c^{*\mu}H_e^{*\nu}\right), \tag{23}$$

$$\alpha_{ab}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{K}\left(\frac{\delta U_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}\eta_c^{*\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2 U_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}H_c^{*\mu}H_d^{*\nu}\right),\ \alpha_{ab}^{\mu\nu\,\lambda\rho} = 0, \tag{24}$$

where W_{ab} and U_{ab} depend on the same fields respectively like W and U, $W_{ab} = -W_{ba}$, and $\bar{K}$ is a constant. In this way, we can write down α_2 like

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}\eta_c^* - \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}B_{d\mu\nu}\eta_c^{*\mu\nu} + \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}A_c^{*\mu}H_{d\mu}^* - \right.$$
$$\left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^3 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d\delta\varphi_e}B_{d\mu\nu}H_c^{*\mu}H_e^{*\nu}\right)\eta^a\eta^b + \bar{K}\left(\frac{\delta U_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}\eta_c^{*\mu\nu} + \right.$$
$$\left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2 U_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}H_c^{*\mu}H_d^{*\nu}\right)\eta^a\eta_{\mu\nu}^b. \tag{25}$$

Rigorously speaking, we could have also added the term $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}\alpha_{ab}^{\mu\nu}$ (resulting from the admissible choice $K_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$, as $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ are the only covariant antisymmetric constants in two dimensions) to α_{ab}. However, we avoided this term because we intend to construct only those deformations that are independent of the space-time dimension. The presence of $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}\alpha_{ab}^{\mu\nu}$ would result, at the level of the deformed action and accompanying gauge structure, in quantities proportional to $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$, and will be therefore omitted. If we compute $\delta\alpha_2$, we consequently deduce that the term of antighost number one in the first-order

deformation of the solution to the master equation is expressed by

$$\alpha_1 = K\left(\frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}\left(A^{*\mu}_c A^a_\mu - B^{*a\mu\nu}B_{c\mu\nu}\right) + \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c\delta\varphi_d}H^{*\nu}_d B_{c\mu\nu}A^{a\mu}\right)\eta^b + \bar{K}\left(U_{ab}\left(B^{*a\mu\nu}\eta^b_{\mu\nu} + \varphi^{*b}\eta^a\right) - \frac{\delta U_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}H^{*\nu}_c\left(A^{a\mu}\eta^b_{\mu\nu} + H^b_\nu\eta^a\right)\right). \quad (26)$$

With α_1 at hand, we determine α_0 as the solution to the equation $\delta\alpha_1+\gamma\alpha_0 = \partial_\mu l^\mu$, which actually reads as

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{K}{2}\frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta\varphi_c}B^{\mu\nu}_c A^a_\mu A^b_\nu - \bar{K}U_{ab}A^{a\mu}H^b_\mu. \quad (27)$$

Thus, so far we have completely determined the deformation to order g, $S_1 = \int d^2x\alpha$.

If we denote by $S_2 = \int d^2x\beta$ the second-order deformation, the master equation $\left(\bar{S},\bar{S}\right) = 0$ holds to order g^2 if and only if $\Delta = -2s\beta + \partial_\mu\theta^\mu$, where $(S_1, S_1) = \int d^2x\Delta$. This means that in order to have a deformation that is consistent to order g^2, the integrand of (S_1, S_1) should be s-exact modulo d. This takes place if and only if

$$K = \bar{K},\ U_{ab} = W_{ab}, \quad (28)$$

and also

$$t_{bcd} \equiv W_{a[b}\frac{\delta W_{cd]}}{\delta\varphi_a} = 0. \quad (29)$$

Indeed, on account of (28) we get that

$$\Delta = K^2\left(t_{bcd}u^{bcd} + \frac{\delta t_{bcd}}{\delta\varphi_e}v_e{}^{bcd} + \frac{\delta^2 t_{bcd}}{\delta\varphi_e\delta\varphi_n}z_{en}{}^{bcd} + \frac{\delta^3 t_{bcd}}{\delta\varphi_e\delta\varphi_n\delta\varphi_m}w_{enm}{}^{bcd}\right), \quad (30)$$

where

$$u^{bcd} = \left(A^{b\mu}A^{c\nu} - B^{*b\mu\nu}\eta^c\right)\eta^d_{\mu\nu} - \left(A^{b\mu}H^d_\mu + \varphi^{*b}\eta^d\right)\eta^c, \quad (31)$$

$$v_e{}^{bcd} = \left(B^{\mu\nu}_e A^b_\mu A^d_\nu + A^{*\mu}_e A^b_\mu\eta^d\right)\eta^c + \left(B^{\mu\nu}_e B^{*b}_{\mu\nu} - H^{*\mu}_e H^b_\mu - \frac{1}{3}\eta^*_e\eta^b\right)\eta^c\eta^d + \left(H^{*\nu}_e A^{b\mu} - \eta^{*\mu\nu}_e\eta^b\right)\eta^c\eta^d_{\mu\nu}, \quad (32)$$

$$z_{en}^{\ bcd} = \left(\eta_e^{*\mu\nu} B_{n\mu\nu}\eta^b - B_{e\mu\nu} H_n^{*\nu} A^{b\mu} - \frac{1}{2} H_e^{*\mu} H_n^{*\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu}^b - A_{e\mu}^* H_n^{*\mu} \eta^b\right) \eta^c \eta^d, \tag{33}$$

$$w_{enm}^{\ bcd} = \frac{1}{6} B_{e\mu\nu} H_n^{*\mu} H_m^{*\nu} \eta^b \eta^c \eta^d. \tag{34}$$

From (31-34) one observes that Δ given in (30) cannot be s-exact modulo d, therefore it should vanish. This happens if and only if the functions W_{ab} satisfy (29), which is nothing but Jacobi's identity for a nonlinear gauge algebra [12]. In conclusion, the consistency at order g^2 implies $S_2 = 0$. Then, the higher-order deformation equations are identically satisfied if we choose $S_3 = \cdots = S_k = \cdots = 0$.

For concreteness, we work with $K = 1$, such that the deformed solution to the master equation, consistent to all orders in the deformation parameter, reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{S} = \int d^2x \Bigg(& H_\mu^a D^\mu \varphi_a + \frac{1}{2} B_a^{\mu\nu} \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}^a + A_a^{*\mu} \left(\partial_\mu \eta^a - g \frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} A_\mu^c \eta^b\right) + \\
& H_a^{*\mu} \left(\partial^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}^a + g \left(\frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} A^{b\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu}^c - \frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} H_\mu^c \eta^b + \frac{\delta^2 W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a \delta \varphi_d} B_{d\mu\nu} A^{c\nu} \eta^b\right)\right) - \\
& g \varphi^{*a} W_{ab} \eta^b + g B^{*a\mu\nu} \left(W_{ab} \eta_{\mu\nu}^b - \frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c} B_{c\mu\nu} \eta^b\right) + \\
& \frac{g}{2} \left(\frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c} \eta_c^* - \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c \delta \varphi_d} B_{d\mu\nu} \eta_c^{*\mu\nu} + \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c \delta \varphi_d} A_c^{*\mu} H_{d\mu}^* - \right. \\
& \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^3 W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c \delta \varphi_d \delta \varphi_e} B_{d\mu\nu} H_c^{*\mu} H_e^{*\nu}\right) \eta^a \eta^b + g \left(\frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c} \eta_c^{*\mu\nu} + \right. \\
& \left. \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c \delta \varphi_d} H_c^{*\mu} H_d^{*\nu}\right) \eta^a \eta_{\mu\nu}^b \Bigg),
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where

$$D^\mu \varphi_a = \partial^\mu \varphi_a + g W_{ab} A^{b\mu} \varphi_a, \ \bar{F}_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}^a + g \frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \tag{36}$$

At this stage, we have all the information for identifying the gauge theory behind our deformation procedure. From the antighost number zero piece

in (35), it follows that the Lagrangian action that describes the deformed model has the expression

$$\bar{S}_0\left[A^a_\mu, H^a_\mu, \varphi_a, B^{\mu\nu}_a\right] = \int d^2x \left(H^a_\mu D^\mu \varphi_a + \frac{1}{2} B^{\mu\nu}_a \bar{F}^a_{\mu\nu}\right), \tag{37}$$

while from the antighost number one components we read the corresponding deformed gauge transformations

$$\bar{\delta}_\epsilon A^a_\mu = \partial_\mu \epsilon^a - g \frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} A^c_\mu \epsilon^b, \tag{38}$$

$$\bar{\delta}_\epsilon H^a_\mu = \partial^\nu \eta^a_{\mu\nu} + g\left(\frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} A^{b\nu} \epsilon^c_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\delta W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a} H^c_\mu \epsilon^b + \frac{\delta^2 W_{bc}}{\delta \varphi_a \delta \varphi_d} B_{d\mu\nu} A^{c\nu} \epsilon^b\right), \tag{39}$$

$$\bar{\delta}_\epsilon \varphi_a = -g W_{ab} \epsilon^b, \; \bar{\delta}_\epsilon B^{\mu\nu}_a = g\left(W_{ab} \epsilon^{b\mu\nu} - \frac{\delta W_{ab}}{\delta \varphi_c} B^{\mu\nu}_c \epsilon^b\right). \tag{40}$$

The form of the coefficients of the terms proportional with one antifield of the ghosts and two ghosts indicate that the gauge algebra is nonlinear, and, moreover, the presence of the quantities involving two antifields of the original fields and two ghosts shows that this algebra only closes on-shell. It is now clear that the deformed Lagrangian action, as well as the resulting gauge structure, does not contain in any way the two-dimensional antisymmetric symbol, as we have previously required. In view of this, there is hope that our deformation mechanism can be properly generalized to higher dimensions.

To conclude with, in this paper we have investigated the consistent interactions that can be introduced among a set of scalar fields, two types of one-forms and a system of two-forms in two dimensions, described in the free limit by an abelian BF theory. Starting with the BRST differential for the free theory, $s = \delta + \gamma$, we compute the consistent first-order deformation with the help of some cohomological arguments. Next, we prove that the deformation is also second-order consistent, and, moreover, matches the higher-order deformation equations. As a result, we are precisely led to a two-dimensional nonlinear gauge theory, that can be in principle extended to higher dimensions. Our deformation procedure modifies the gauge transformations, as well as their algebra. Moreover, the deformed gauge algebra is open and closes on-shell.

Acknowledgment

This work has been supported by a Romanian National Council for Academic Scientific Research (CNCSIS) grant.

References

[1] E. S. Fradkin, G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. **B55** (1975) 224; I. A. Batalin, G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. **B69** (1977) 309; E. S. Fradkin, T. E. Fradkina, Phys. Lett. **B72** (1978) 343; I. A. Batalin, G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. **B102** (1981) 27; I. A. Batalin, E. S. Fradkin, Phys. Lett. **B122** (1983) 157; I. A. Batalin, G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 2567; I. A. Batalin, G. A. Vilkovisky, J. Math. Phys. **26** (1985) 172; M. Henneaux, Phys. Rep. **126** (1985) 1; A. D. Browning, D. Mc Mullan, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 438; M. Dubois-Violette, Ann. Inst. Fourier **37** (1987) 45; D. Mc Mullan, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 428; M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 213; J. D. Stasheff, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1988) 287; J.Fisch, M. Henneaux, J. D. Stasheff, C. Teitelboim, Commun. Math. Phys. **120** (1989) 379; M. Henneaux, Nucl. Phys. **B** (Proc. Suppl) **18A** (1990) 47; M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, Quantization of Gauge Systems (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey) 1992

[2] B. Voronov, I. V. Tyutin, Theor. Math. Phys. **50** (1982) 218; B. Voronov, I. V. Tyutin, Theor. Math. Phys. **52** (1982) 628; J. Gomis, S. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. **B469** (1996) 473; S. Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) 1996

[3] O. Piguet, S. P. Sorella, Algebraic Renormalization: Perturbative Renormalization, Symmetries and Anomalies (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer Verlag, Berlin) Vol. **28** 1995

[4] P. S. Howe, V. Lindstrőm, P. White, Phys. Lett. **B246** (1990) 130; W. Troost, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, A. van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. **B333** (1990) 727; G. Barnich, M. Henneaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 1588; G. Barnich, Mod. Phys. Lett.**A9** (1994) 665; G. Barnich, Phys. Lett. **B419** (1998) 211

[5] F. Brandt, M. Henneaux, A. Wilch, Phys. Lett. **B387** (1996) 320

[6] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. **49** (1963) 133; J. Fang, C. Fronsdal, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2264; F. A. Berends, G. H. Burgers, H. Van Dam, Z. Phys. **C24** (1984) 247; F. A. Berends, G. H. Burgers, H. Van Dam, Nucl. Phys. **B260** (1985) 295; A. K. H. Bengtsson, Phys. Rev. **D32** (1985) 2031

[7] G. Barnich, M. Henneaux, Phys. Lett. **B311** (1993) 123; J D. Stasheff, preprint q-alg/9702012; J. D. Stasheff, preprint hep-th/9712157; J. A. Garcia, B. Knaepen, Phys. Lett. **B441** (1998) 198

[8] C. Bizdadea, E. M. Cioroianu, S. O. Saliu, Class. Quantum Grav. **17** (2000) 2007; C. Bizdadea, L. Saliu, S. O. Saliu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** (2000) 893; C. Bizdadea, S. O. Saliu, Phys. Scripta **62** (2000) 261; C. Bizdadea, preprint hep-th/0003199

[9] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, J. Scherk, Phys. Lett. **B76** (1978) 409; R. Wald, Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986) 3613; G. Barnich, M. Henneaux, R. Tatar, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D3** (1994) 139; G. Barnich, F. Brandt, M. Henneaux, Commun. Math. Phys. **174** (1995) 93; G. Barnich, F. Brandt, M. Henneaux, Nucl. Phys. **B455** (1995) 357; M. Henneaux, Phys. Lett. **B368** (1996) 83; M. Henneaux, B. Knaepen, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 6076; M. Henneaux, B. Knaepen, C. Schomblond, Lett. Math. Phys. **42** (1997) 337; M. Henneaux, V. E. R. Lemes, C. A. G. Sasaki, S. P. Sorella, O. S. Ventura, I. C. Q. Vilar, Phys. Lett. **B410** (1997) 195; F. Brandt, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **259** (1997) 253; X. Bekaert, M. Henneaux, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1161; X. Bekaert, M. Henneaux, A. Sevrin, Phys. Lett. **B468** (1999) 228; C. Bizdadea, M. G. Mocioacă, S. O. Saliu, Phys. Lett. **B459** (1999) 145; X. Bekaert, M. Henneaux, A. Sevrin, Nucl. Phys. **B** (Proc. Suppl.) **88** (2000) 27; K. I. Izawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **103** (2000) 225; C. Bizdadea, L. Saliu, S. O. Saliu, Phys. Scripta **61** (2000) 307; X. Bekaert, M. Henneaux, A. Sevrin, preprint hep-th/0004049; N. Boulanger, T. Damour, L. Gualtieri, M. Henneaux, preprint hep-th/0007220; N. Ikeda, preprint hep-th/0010096

[10] M. Henneaux, B. Knaepen, C. Schomblond, Commun. Math. Phys. **186** (1997) 137

[11] for a review, D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski, G. Thompson, Phys. Rep. **209** (1991) 129

[12] N. Ikeda, K. I. Izawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **89** (1993) 223; N. Ikeda, K. I. Izawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **89** (1993) 1077; N. Ikeda, K. I. Izawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **90** (1993) 237; N. Ikeda, Ann. Phys. **235** (1994) 235; P. Schaller, T. Strobl, Phys. Lett. **B337** (1994) 266; P. Schaller, T. Strobl, Proceedings "Dubna 1994— Finite Dimensional Integrable Systems" (1994) 181; P. Schaller, T. Strobl, in Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. **469** (Springer Verlag, Berlin) (1996) 321; T. Klösch, P. Schaller, T. Strobl, Helv. Phys. Acta **69** (1996) 305; W. Kummer, H. Liebl, Vassilevich, Nucl. Phys. **B493** (1997) 491

[13] D. J. Gross, A. A. Migdal, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 333; T. Banks, M. O'Loughlin, Nucl. Phys. **B362** (1991) 649; E. Witten, Proceedings "New York 1991— Differential Geometry Methods in Theoretical Physics", Vol **1** (1991) 176; G. Grignani, G. Nardelli, Class. Quantum Grav. **10** (1993) 2569; T. Fujiwara, Y. Igaraschi, J. Kubo, T. Tabei, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1736; T. Fujiwara, T. Tabei, Y. Igaraschi, K. Maeda, J. Kubo, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8** (1993) 2147; T. Strobl, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D3** (1994) 281; R. Jackiw, preprint gr-qc/9511048

[14] K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Commun. Math. Phys. **124** (1989) 87; S. Hirano, Y. Kazama, Y. Satoh, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1687; Ö. Dayi, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9** (1994) 2157; D. Louis-Martinez, G. Kunstatter, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 3494; M. Blagojević, M. Vasilić, T. Vukašinac, Class. Quantum Grav. **13** (1996) 3003; Ö. Dayi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 4387; P. M. Lavrov, P. Y. U. Moshin, Class. Quantum Grav. **16** (1999) 2247; W. Kummer, G. Tieber, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 044001; D. Grumiller, D. Hofmann, W. Kummer, preprint gr-qc/0005098